










Essential Health Benefits Final Rule – National Retail Federation’s Top-Line Summary

EHB coverage will shape coverage in the individual and small group insurance markets. New coverage mandates likely will increase coverage costs. Large, self-funded employers cannot have annual or lifetime limits on EHBs covered in their plan.

	<p>Existing State Benefit Mandates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • § 155.170. <u>See</u> rule, pp. 17-20. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State-enacted mandates included in EHB if enacted before 12/31/11 • <i>NRF opposed inclusion</i>; likely will increase coverage costs. • NRF urges scientific, evidence-based review of all existing state mandates by 2016 to help reduce costs.
	<p>Medical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.125. <u>See</u> pp. 50-54. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarified that legitimate, evidence-based medical management of benefits does not violate anti-discrimination rules. • For example, vaccine for Shingles is not medically indicated until age 60 or later. • <i>NRF supported this approach</i>.
	<p>Mental Health Parity, Coverage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.115(a)(2). <u>See</u> rule, pp. 42-43. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACA requires inclusion of mental health benefits through the EHB to the small group and individual markets. • Regulation expands the Mental Health Parity Act provisions to small group and individuals, both explicitly excluded from the MHPA. • This will be a significant challenge to small group and individuals from both a cost and flexibility standpoint. • <i>NRF opposed extension of parity requirements</i>; will add cost and additional unwanted complexity in the 2014 transition.
	<p>Prescription Drug Coverage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.122. <u>See</u> rule, pp. 46-50. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulation bypassed calls to include six protected classes (e.g. Medicare) and instead will require the greater of one drug in each USP (U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention) category or the same number of drugs in each category and class as the benchmark EHB plan. • <i>NRF supported this approach</i>.
	<p>HSAs / HRAs & Value Calculations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.135. <u>See</u> pp. 59-58. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initially only the insurance portion of a linked Health Savings Account (HSA) or Health Reimbursement Arrangement (HRA) counted toward actuarial value calculations. • Regulation counts employer contributions in full. For example, a \$1,000 deductible plan becomes a zero deductible plan if the employer pre-funds the HSA portion with \$1,000, thus giving the plan a higher actuarial value score.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>NRF supported this approach.</i>
	<p>Pediatric Vision and Dental</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.110. <u>See</u> rule, pp. 34-42. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires pediatric vision and dental coverage. • Determined by: State coverage or supplementation through FEDVIP (federal employee program) or CHIP (State Children’s Health Insurance Program). • <i>NRF opposed inclusion of this non-standard benefit.</i> Well-baby and well-child visits serve the same purpose with medically appropriate referrals as needed.
	<p>Habilitative Coverage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.110. <u>See</u> rule, pp. 34-42. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Helps a person learn, keep or improve skills and functional abilities that they may not be developing normally. • <i>NRF urged that this non-standard benefit not be included;</i> likely will increase cost. If included, policymakers should consider parity to rehabilitative coverage to provide some bounds to a potentially unlimited benefit given elimination of lifetime caps and (by 2014) annual limitations.
	<p>Minimum Value</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §156.145. <u>See</u> pp. 69-74. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Even if a large plan is not subject to the EHB requirements, it still must provide coverage of “minimum value” of no less than 60%. • Options will include a MV calculator, a checklist of design-based safe-harbors, or actuarial certification. In some cases, carve-out benefits will have to be considered separately, without the MC calculator. • <i>NRF supported this approach because of the added flexibility.</i>
	<p>Out-of-Network Cost Sharing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • §145.130. <u>See</u> pp. 54-59. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Out-of-network cost sharing generally does not count against cost-sharing limits. • Emergency room care (in or out) is an exception. Cannot have different cost-sharing or co-pays for out-of-network emergency room services. • <i>NRF supported this approach.</i>

Contact NRF’s Neil Trautwein (trautweinn@nrf.com or 202.626.8170) for more information.